

CONFIDENTIAL

IF RECEIVED BY A PARTY OTHER THAN THE NAMED ADDRESSEE,
SEE THE CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE BELOW

RATNER & PRESTIA

Suite 301, One Westlakes, Berwyn

P.O. Box 980

Valley Forge, Pennsylvania 19482-0980

Telephone 610-407-0700 • Fax 610-407-0701

www.ratnerprestia.com

Valley Forge, PA • Allentown, PA • Wilmington, DE

FACSIMILE COVER SHEETDATE: January 7, 2002OUR REF.: BU9-97-226

TIME: _____

PTO REF.: USSN 09/224,696

FROM:	Andrew L. Ney
TO:	Examiner Chante' Harrison (Art Unit 2672)
COMPANY:	United States Patent and Trademark Office
FAX TELEPHONE:	(703) 308-6606
OFFICE TELEPHONE:	(703) 305-3937
TITLE OF DOCUMENT:	Facsimile Filing of Preliminary Amendment

Total Number of Pages: 6 (including this form)**COMMENTS**

Please deliver to Examiner Chante' Harrison as soon as possible. Thank you.


CONFIDENTIAL AND PRIVILEGED ATTORNEY/CLIENT INFORMATION

This facsimile transmission (and/or documents accompanying it) may contain attorney/client privileged communications and confidential business information that is intended for use only by the individual or company to whom it is addressed. Disclosure, interception, copying or any other use of this transmission by anyone other than any intended recipient is prohibited. If you receive this transmission by mistake, please notify the sender.

Please notify immediately if you have not received the number of pages indicated above.

Received from <6104070701> at 1/7/02 11:00:54 AM [Eastern Standard Time]

BU9-97-226



PATENT

#13
1-15-02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Cassondra L. Crotty et al. : Art Unit: 2672
Serial No.: 09/224,696 : Examiner: C. Harrison
Filed: January 4, 1999 :
For: METHOD FOR :
VISUALIZING DATA :

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231
Box Non-Fee Amendment

S I R :

Prior to examination of the above-identified patent application,
please consider the remarks provided below.

REMARKS

Applicants have presented previously arguments in support of their contention that their invention differs from Schwuttke et al. in terms of purpose, function and composition. By not addressing Applicants' points of argument, the Examiner has failed to refute Applicants' arguments. If the Examiner maintains the grounds of rejection set forth in the Final rejection, Applicants request the Examiner to specifically address the following points, previously presented by Applicants, and indicate, with specificity, how the system disclosed in Schwuttke et al. operates in a manner similar to Applicants' invention and where, in Schwuttke et al., the elements, features and details of Applicants' invention, as defined by the claims, are disclosed.

- Schwuttke et al. relates to a three dimensional picture of a space. The system of Schwuttke et al. is a "situation visualizer" that can function in one - (linear), two - (area), or three - (volume) dimensions. This situation visualizer draws the attention of the eye of the user to some activity of interest at one or more various points within the three dimensional picture of the space.